

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,069	11/20/2003	Kuan-Heng Wu	U 014903-2	8661	
7590 06/23/2005 Ladas & Parry			EXAMINER		
			LEE, JIN	LEE, JINHEE J	
26 West 61st St		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
New York, NY 10023				FAFER NUMBER	
			2831		
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/718		WU, KUAN-HENG			
		Exami	·	Art Unit	,		
	•	Jinhee		2831			
The	MAILING DATE of this commu				Idress		
Period for Rep				•			
THE MAILII - Extensions of after SIX (6) If - If the period f - If NO period f - Failure to rep Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD IN NET DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY IN THIS COMMUNI	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a statutory minimum of the d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 27 May 2005					
· <u> </u>	action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	·	ace under Ex parte	quayic, 1000 O.	D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.			
Disposition of							
4a) Of 5)⊠ Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)□ Claim	f (s) 1-20 is/are pending in the f the above claim(s) 16-20 is/a is/s (s) 4 and 10-15 is/are allowed is) 1-3 and 5-9 is/are rejected is) is/are objected to. f(s) are subject to restricted.	re withdrawn from o					
Application Pa	pers						
9)∏ The s	pecification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10) □ The di	rawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or	b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	· · · ·		
Applic	ant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	cement drawing sheet(s) includin				• •		
11)∐ The o	ath or declaration is objected t	to by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P1	Г О -152.		
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119	٠					
a)⊠ All 1.⊠ 2.⊟ 3.⊟	wledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation e attached detailed Office action	or documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in ments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)	Connected (DTO 200)		. .□				
2) ☐ Notice of Dra 3) ⊠ Information □	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (l Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Mail Date <u>0405</u> .		Paper No. 5) Dotice of	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO owable subject matter.)-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-15 in the reply filed on 5/27/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Priority

- 2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-
- (d) based upon an application filed in Taiwan on 11/22/02.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Abstract is too short.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 2, 4, 11 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2 line 2, the phrase "positioned out of" has an error. Examiner suggests "that open out from" instead to correct the error.

Claim 4 line 3, the phrase "device draw" has an error. Examiner suggests "device to draw" instead to correct the error.

Claim 11 line 2, the phrase "cover out of" has an error. Examiner suggests "cover that open out from" instead to correct the error.

Claim 14 line 1, the phrase "said mechanism" has an error. Examiner suggests "said fastening device" instead to avoid insufficient antecedent rejection.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1,2, 5,6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato (US006304428B1).

Re claim 1, Sato discloses a wire-collecting device of a computer accessory, comprising: a casing (8 sub housing for example) coupled to said computer accessory having a first opening (unnumbered between the main and sub housing for example); and a collecting portion (unnumbered holding the cord 10) inside said casing for

Application/Control Number: 10/718,069

Art Unit: 2831

containing a signal-transmission device (10 cord for example) of said computer accessory; and a fastening device (8c for example) positioned on a first interior surface of said casing, and said fastening device being positioned near said first opening to clasp said signal-transmission device (see figure 4).

Re claim 2, Sato discloses a wire-collecting device, wherein said casing further comprises a cover (1a, main housing for example) positioned out of said first opening (see figure 4).

Re claim 5, Sato discloses a wire-collecting device, wherein said signal-transmission device includes a cable (10) and a connector (10a).

Re claim 6, Sato discloses a wire-collecting device, wherein said fastening device further comprises a second opening (8c) to enable said cable to pass through, said second opening is wider than a diameter of said cable, and smaller than a width of said connector (see figure 4).

Re claim 8, Sato discloses a wire-collecting device, wherein said casing (8) is manufactured to one piece during a process of making said computer accessory (see figure 4).

Re claim 9, Sato discloses a wire-collecting device, wherein said computer accessory (printer for example) is an external computer accessory.

7. Claims 1-3 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lorscheider et al. (US006077108A).

Re claim 1, Lorscheider et al. discloses a wire-collecting device of a computer accessory, comprising: a casing (43 for example) coupled to said computer accessory

having a first opening (unnumbered between 43 and 41 for example); and a collecting portion (46, 48 for example) inside said casing for containing a signal-transmission device (18 for example) of said computer accessory; and a fastening device (holding 12 at the entryway of the cartridge 40 for example) positioned on a first interior surface of said casing, and said fastening device being positioned near said first opening to clasp said signal-transmission device (see figure 7).

Re claim 2, Lorscheider et al. discloses a wire-collecting device, wherein said casing further comprises a cover (41 for example) positioned out of said first opening (see figure 4).

Re claim 3, Lorscheider et al. discloses a wire-collecting device, further comprising an elastic element (61 spring for example), a first terminal (end on 60 for example) of said elastic element being installed on a second interior surface of said casing, and said second interior surface being opposite to said first opening (see figures 4 and 7).

Re claim 5, Lorscheider et al. discloses a wire-collecting device, wherein said signal-transmission device includes a cable (18) and a connector (12).

Re claim 6, Lorscheider et al. discloses a wire-collecting device, wherein said fastening device further comprises a second opening (unnumbered) to enable said cable to pass through, said second opening is wider than a diameter of said cable, and smaller than a width of said connector (see figure 7).

Re claim 7, Lorscheider et al. discloses a wire-collecting device, wherein said fastening device further comprises a recess (unnumbered) for fastening said connector (see figure 7).

Re claim 8, Lorscheider et al. discloses a wire-collecting device, wherein said casing (43) is manufactured to one piece during a process of making said computer accessory (see figure 4).

Re claim 9, Lorscheider et al. discloses a wire-collecting device, wherein said computer accessory (patch panel) is an external computer accessory.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4 and 10-15 are allowable.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Re claims 4 and 10-15, prior arts do not teach or suggest the combination of a wire collecting device of a computer accessory with an elastic element with a second terminal connected to said signal transmission device to force the signal-transmission device to draw back into said collecting portion in conjunction with first terminal element being installed on a second interior surface and fastening device near first opening to clasp the signal transmission device.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Snyder and Tsai are cited to show various components of a wire-collecting device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jinhee J Lee Patent Examiner Art Unit 2831

ijΙ